Topics
My posts
Drafts
Preferences
Tour
Philosophy
Morality, Government, ...
Science, Technology, ...
Psychology and Mind
Religion and Spiritual...
Creative Writing

Sort: Oldest

Oldest - Newest
Most Likes
Most Viewed

Jump to...

Mistral | Iamnotdan 5d

Stilanya

@anon_1_boredom

Energy is a word, a term, a concept of human understanding. By definition a thing.

Only in your mind ...show me an energy thing.

Just did.

Stilanya

@anon_1_boredom

You claim energy is all while denying energy is creating. So is energy not non-existent? Is energy not destruction? In which case, your assertion that "energy is all" would be false.

That is what I mean by interacting... it is always creating and destroying.

I mentioned destruction as being part of interacting, didn't I?

No. You have not explicitly mentioned destruction in this thread until now.

As illustrated, your conception of energy is not comprehensive. You are awkwardly forcing phallus technology upon all things. What you present is incoherent, unstructured rambling utilizing awkward terminology.

3 Replies

Reply

AsaNiePSD dan 22h

Quote... I keep telling them that but they won't listen...

Reply

Mistral | Iamnotdan 5d

Stilanya

@anon_1_boredom

You claim energy is all while denying energy is creating. So is energy not non-existent? Is energy not destruction? In which case, your assertion that "energy is all" would be false.

That is what I mean by interacting... it is always creating and destroying.

Your terms and concepts are problematic. They deny distinction. They are incoherent and awkward, contradictory even.

Your concepts inadvertently bleed into one another. They are one another. Creation is destruction. Destruction is creation.

You claim all is energy. No challenge me to point to "an energy thing"

Stilanya

Only in your mind ...show me an energy thing.

...suggesting I cannot. But I thought all is energy? So any response should be an example of it.

As illustrated, your philosophy is incoherent.

Reply

AsaNiePSD dan 22h

AsaNiePSD

It's impossible to cancel the SELF. (Earth) but because you need to want to claim that you are the SELF (earth) you will be forced to do it. Which is why I mentioned earlier that reality is a misrepresentation of reality which is an absolutely ridiculous thing to claim because it's not real. Which is why I mentioned earlier that reality is not real.

The SELF (earth) is separate from the lonely physical which exists.

Truth defies/can't/Truth defies/Can

Reply

Rikkaa Yuhanhanna 5d

Show me a thing, that is immutable, indivisible, static.

You told me, now show me some thing that is immutable, indivisible, static.....show me the god particle, or is it just god?

Stilanya

@anon_1_boredom

No. You have not explicitly mentioned destruction in this thread until now.

Read back... interactivity means reactions/destruction.....creating destroying.

Stilanya

@anon_1_boredom

As illustrated, your conception of energy is not comprehensive. You are awkwardly forcing phallus technology upon all things. What you present is incoherent, unstructured rambling utilizing awkward terminology.

You've illustrated nothing, but your dissatisfaction with what is being revealed.

You stupidness energy story, you interact with it consistently, you use it, and then pretend that it is incomprehensible.

Define existence for me, so we can see how fucked up you truly are.

I see a victim of eternity thinking when I mistakenly read "science" instead of "silence". Silence is the key to life.

Now that I can see, I will hope you meet science, because if you cannot comprehend what silence is, then I'm overwhelmed you

Tell me, after you give me your definition of existence, what is silence?

Why is space silent.....does a tree falling in a forest make a sound, if there's no one there to hear it?

What is required for the experience of sound?

2 Replies